

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,421	08/02/2001	Taiwa Okanobu	7217/65190	6723	
530 75	90 05/18/2006		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
600 SOUTH AV	ENUE WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			2614		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/18/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/921,			OKANOBU, TAIWA			
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		William .	J. Deane	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ntion appears on t	he cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting the reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUN event, however, may will expire SIX (6) Mapplication to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 21 February 2	006					
.—	,	) This action is						
	·—							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the app	olication.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	· <u> </u>							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔀 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u>			of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,292,232 (Oyagi) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,6344,778 (Nakamura et al.).

With respect to claims 1 – 4, Oyagi teaches an antenna unit for use with a receiver (17), the antenna unit comprising an antenna (1), a high frequency amplifier (5), coaxial cable (2), an operating voltage (21) and a signal to control a gain (47). What Oyagi does not teach is the use of a high frequency gain amplifier. However, note that Nakamura discloses that such is old in the art (see element 105). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such an amplifier as taught by Nakamura et al. into the Oyagi device as such it would only entail the substitution of one known amplifier for another.

Oyagi teaches the claimed limitations except for explicitly teaching an attenuator circuit and a switching circuit, however, note Col. 3, lines 31 – 38. It appears that the amplifier switches back and forth between amplifying and attenuating, albeit, internally. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to switch between

Application/Control Number: 09/921,421

Art Unit: 2614

amplifying and attenuating as taught by Oyagi (internally), as such would only entail bring the attenuating and switches internal to the amplifier to a position outside the amplifier.

With respect to claims 6, 12 note coaxial cable 2 of Oyagi.

With respect to claims 7 and 8 - 9, note elements 21 and 47 of Oyagi.

With respect o claim 10, Oyagi teaches the claimed limitations with the exception of explicitly teaching the second and third control signals. It appears from a fair reading that such is accomplished by elements 21 and 47. Even if this were not the case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to duplicate the already shown control signal as many times as deemed necessary when the control signal falls below or goes above a predetermined threshold.

With respect to claims 11 and 13 – 14, note the above and Col. 3, line 53 – Col. 4, line 64 of Oyagi and the above.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1- 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See 892

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/921.421

Art Unit: 2614

ij

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484.

In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number

(571) 273-8300.

14May2006

Page 4